



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.            | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|------------------|
| 10/735,872   | 12/16/2003  | Akiko Sato           | NITT.0168                      | 5448             |
| 38327  | 7590        | 08/19/2008           |                                |                  |
| REED SMITH LLP<br>3110 FAIRVIEW PARK DRIVE, SUITE 1400<br>FALLS CHURCH, VA 22042 |             |                      | EXAMINER<br>RANKINS, WILLIAM E |                  |
|  |             |                      | ART UNIT                       | PAPER NUMBER     |
|  |             |                      | 3696                           |                  |
|  |             |                      | MAIL DATE                      | DELIVERY MODE    |
|  |             |                      | 08/19/2008 PAPER               |                  |

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

1. The reply filed on 04/21/2008 is not fully responsive to the prior Office Action because of the following omission(s) or matter(s): The new dependent claims have not been shown to be supported by the specification. See MPEP 2163 [R-5], II. A. and 714.02. See 37 CFR 1.111. Since the above-mentioned reply appears to be *bona fide*, applicant is given **ONE (1) MONTH or THIRTY (30) DAYS** from the mailing date of this notice, whichever is longer, within which to supply the omission or correction in order to avoid abandonment. **EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136(a).**

A telephone call was made to Jennifer Teng on 7/28/2008 to clarify the non-responsive amendment mailed 7/21/2008 by the examiner. The examiner requested that support be shown for the amended dependent claims in the interest of time. The applicant subsequently denied this request citing budgetary reasons. As the showing of support in the specification for amended or new claims is not required but suggested by the MPEP as shown above the applicant is given one month or thirty days to file a reply as indicated above.

/William E Rankins/

Examiner, Art Unit 3696

07/28/2008

Application/Control Number: 10/735,872

Page 3

Art Unit: 3696

/Daniel S Felten/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3696